

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,494	04/10/2000	Ulf Ahlfors	6563/54132 (3964-11)	3411
27498	7590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			NG, CHRISTINE Y	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, \			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		^	A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/546,494 AHLFORS ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine Ng	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods: 	ollowing replies: (1) an amen Notice of Appeal (with appe npliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, w al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.	hich .31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later			. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0		N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHI	N TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee undeset in the final Office action; or (2) as set for	der 37 orth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus AMENDMENTS 	y extension thereof (37 CFR st be filed within the time per	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the apod set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ppeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be 	consideration and/or search		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by ma		ues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR	1.121. See attached Notice of	f Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL	324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · ——	separate, timely filed amendment car	nceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		b) will be entered and an explana	ation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fill entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess 	o overcome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fails to pr	

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

13. Other: ___

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Referring to the argument that Henrion et al do not disclose accepting or rejecting streams based on updated counters for a particular stream before said stream enters any queue of the switch (page 16, lines 1-18): Henrion et al disclose in the Figure that each flow C1-C16 is associated with a service grant counter CT1-CT16. The CT counters contains a flow's current credit amount of service grants for guaranteed bandwidth. An active flow can be selected for guaranteed bandwidth share to transmit its next data packet as long as its CT counter has not yet reached zero. Refer to Column 9, line 30 to Column 10, line 6; Column 17, line 46 to Column 18, line 33; Column 19, lines 36-60; and Column 21, line 61 to Column 22, line 4. Although the flows are already in buffers Q1-Q16, the scheduler SEL must utilize the updated counters to determine which queue transmits a packet onto a common link L. The common link L reads on a queue of the switch, since it provides output from the switch.

Referring to the argument that Kilkki et al do not disclose extracting flow identity information from the stream (page 16, line 19 to page 17, line 3): Kilkki et al disclose in Figure 3 that the priority level is computed using the measured bit rate MBR and the nominal bit rate NBR, and the MBR is measured based the connection type (real-time or non-real-time). Therefore, the priority level reads on the flow identity since it distinguishes flows from each other; the priority level will be different depending on whether the connection is real-time or non-real-time. Cells will have different PL's depending on the connection type and MBR. The computed priority level is then used to determine which queue the stream of data will enter. Refer to Column 6, lines 35-44; Column 8, lines 49-65; and Column 13, lines 43-67.